

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/797,257	0	3/10/2004	Xavier Billot	MC080Y	MC080Y 5365	
210	7590	08/19/2005		EXAMINER		
MERCK A	ND CO., I	INC		HABTE, I	CAHSAY	
PO BOX 20	00 ´					
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1624		

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
••	10/797,257	BILLOT ET AL.	(
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-17 are subject to restriction 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		• •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , ,	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Inity documents have been receive Inity (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

HC

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/797,257

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 and 8-17 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3 (in part), 5-6 (in part) and 8 (in part), drawn to 1,3-thiazinans
 (i.e. X = S and Y = CH₂), classified in class 544, subclass 54.
 - II. Claims 1-3 (in part), 5-6 (in part) and 8 (in part), drawn to 1,3-oxazinans (i.e. X = O and $Y = CH_2$), classified in class 544, subclass 88.
 - III. Claims 1-3 (in part), 4, 5-6 (in part) and 8 (in part), drawn to oxopiperidines (i.e. $X = Y = CH_2$), classified in class 546, subclass 243.
 - IV. Claims 1-3 (in part), 5-6 (in part) and 8 (in part), drawn to others (e.g. Y = O and X = CH₂, Y = S and X = CH₂) classified for example in class 544, subclass 59.
 - V. Claims 10-11, drawn to complex composition, classified in class 514, subclass various.
 - VI. Claims 12-17, drawn to a method of treatment, classified in class 514, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I-VI are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds such that the variable core created by the varying definitions of **X** and **Y** in the compound formula I do not belong to

Application/Control Number: 10/797,257

Art Unit: 1624

the same recognized class of chemical compounds in the art, and references anticipating one invention, would not render obvious the others. Groups I-IV are drawn to compounds and simple composition and are different from Group VII that is drawn to complex composition. Group V has an additional ingredient (e.g. beta-adrenergic blocking agent) that is not present in Groups I-IV. This is because of the possibility of synergistic interaction, which is usually the purpose of the complex composition in the first place. Group I is drawn to 1,3-thaizines (six-membered ring with N and S at 1,3postions) and is different from Groups II-IV. Group II is drawn to 1,3-oxazines (sixmembered ring with N and O at 1,3-postions) and is different from Groups I, III or IV. Group III is drawn to piperidines (one nitrogen in a six-membered ring) and it is different from Groups I-II or IV. Group IV is drawn to others e.g. morpholines and thiomorpholines (core structures that don't fall in Groups I-III) and is different from Groups I-III. Inventions I-IV and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case 1,3-oxazine derivatives are used in the treatment of pain that is materially different process. Thus, separate searches in the literature as well as in the U.S. Patent Classification System would be required. Each group's compounds are made and used independently of each other and could support separate patents. The compounds differ

Application/Control Number: 10/797,257

Art Unit: 1624

significantly in chemical structures. One skilled in the art would not consider such diverse structure equivalents of each other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Advisory Rejoinder

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims

Application/Control Number: 10/797,257

Art Unit: 1624

and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

A telephone call was made to Ms. Sylvia Ayler Kate on August 3, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/797,257 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habie, Ph. D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1624

KH

August 17, 2005